Gravitational form factors of hadrons within chiral EFT

Herzallah Alharazin Ruhr University Bochum

• In general, the matrix element $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ is parameterized by the GFFs (*i* and *f* are of the same type) or by transition GFFs (*i* and *f* are not of the same type)

- In general, the matrix element $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ is parameterized by the GFFs (*i* and *f* are of the same type) or by transition GFFs (*i* and *f* are not of the same type)
- The EMT is obtained from the action by:

 $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \bigg|_{g=\eta} \sim \frac{1}{2e} \left[\frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\mu}} e^a_{\nu} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\nu}} e^a_{\mu} \right] \bigg|_{g=\eta}$

- In general, the matrix element $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ is parameterized by the GFFs (*i* and *f* are of the same type) or by transition GFFs (*i* and *f* are not of the same type)
- The EMT is obtained from the action by:

 $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \bigg|_{g=\eta} \sim \frac{1}{2e} \left[\frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\mu}} e^a_{\nu} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\nu}} e^a_{\mu} \right] \bigg|_{g=\eta}$

 The EChL at order *n* is constructed by combining various fields and covariant derivatives to form the most general invariant monomials. The number of these monomials is then minimized by employing identities and field redefinitions

- In general, the matrix element $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ is parameterized by the GFFs (*i* and *f* are of the same type) or by transition GFFs (*i* and *f* are not of the same type)
- The EMT is obtained from the action by:

 $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \bigg|_{g=\eta} \sim \frac{1}{2e} \left[\frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\mu}} e^a_{\nu} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\nu}} e^a_{\mu} \right] \bigg|_{g=\eta}$

- The EChL at order *n* is constructed by combining various fields and covariant derivatives to form the most general invariant monomials. The number of these monomials is then minimized by employing identities and field redefinitions
- In the literature, the EChL describing pions, nucleons, and deltas in flat spacetime has been systematically constructed at least up to fourth order in small quantities

- In general, the matrix element $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ is parameterized by the GFFs (*i* and *f* are of the same type) or by transition GFFs (*i* and *f* are not of the same type)
- The EMT is obtained from the action by:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \bigg|_{g=\eta} \sim \frac{1}{2e} \left[\frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\mu}} e^a_{\nu} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta e^{a\nu}} e^a_{\mu} \right] \bigg|_{g=\eta}$$

- The EChL at order *n* is constructed by combining various fields and covariant derivatives to form the most general invariant monomials. The number of these monomials is then minimized by employing identities and field redefinitions
- In the literature, the EChL describing pions, nucleons, and deltas in flat spacetime has been systematically constructed at least up to fourth order in small quantities
- However, terms in the action involving derivatives of the metric fields can also contribute to the $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ in flat spacetime, e.g., consider: $S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} V_{\alpha\beta\lambda} \partial^{\alpha} g^{\beta\lambda}$. The corresponding EMT is obtained as follows:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} V_{\alpha\beta\lambda} \partial^\alpha \frac{\delta g^{\beta\lambda}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} |_{g=\eta} = -\partial^\alpha \left(V_{\alpha\mu\nu} + V_{\alpha\nu\mu} \right)$$

To calculate $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ within ChPT we need (besides working with general covariant derivatives) to take the following structures into account:

To calculate $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ within ChPT we need (besides working with general covariant derivatives) to take the following structures into account:

• Couplings with the Riemann tensor:

$$R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{1}_{x} V^{1}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{1}_{y} V^{1}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right) + \dots + R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{m}_{x} V^{m}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{n}_{y} V^{n}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{\text{for}} V^{i}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sim \mathcal{O}^{n-2}$$

To calculate $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ within ChPT we need (besides working with general covariant derivatives) to take the following structures into account:

• Couplings with the Riemann tensor:

$$R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{1}_{x} V^{1}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{1}_{y} V^{1}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right) + \dots + R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{m}_{x} V^{m}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{n}_{y} V^{n}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{for} V^{i}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sim \mathcal{O}^{n-2}$$

• Couplings with the Ricci tensor: $\tilde{c}_z^1 R^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}^1 + \cdots + \tilde{c}_z^m R^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}^m$

for
$$V^i_{\mu\nu} \sim \mathcal{O}^{n-2}$$

To calculate $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ within ChPT we need (besides working with general covariant derivatives) to take the following structures into account:

• Couplings with the Riemann tensor:

$$R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{1}_{x} V^{1}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{1}_{y} V^{1}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right) + \dots + R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{m}_{x} V^{m}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{n}_{y} V^{n}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{for} V^{i}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sim \mathcal{O}^{n-2}$$

- Couplings with the Ricci tensor: $\tilde{c}_z^1 R^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}^1 + \dots + \tilde{c}_z^m R^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}^m$ <u>for</u> $V_{\mu\nu}^i \sim \mathcal{O}^{n-2}$
- Couplings with the Ricci scalar: $\tilde{c}_k^1 R \mathscr{L}^{(n),1} + \cdots + \tilde{c}_k^m R \mathscr{L}^{(n),m}$

<u>Where</u> $\mathscr{L}^{(n),i}$ are independent structures that appear in the flat spacetime EChL of order n.

To calculate $\langle f | T_{\mu\nu} | i \rangle$ within ChPT we need (besides working with general covariant derivatives) to take the following structures into account:

• Couplings with the Riemann tensor:

$$R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{1}_{x} V^{1}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{1}_{y} V^{1}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right) + \dots + R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\tilde{c}^{m}_{x} V^{m}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \tilde{c}^{n}_{y} V^{n}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{for} V^{i}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sim \mathcal{O}^{n-2}$$

- Couplings with the Ricci tensor: $\tilde{c}_z^1 R^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}^1 + \dots + \tilde{c}_z^m R^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}^m$ <u>for</u> $V_{\mu\nu}^i \sim \mathcal{O}^{n-2}$
- Couplings with the Ricci scalar: $\tilde{c}_k^1 R \mathscr{L}^{(n),1} + \cdots + \tilde{c}_k^m R \mathscr{L}^{(n),m}$

<u>Where</u> $\mathscr{L}^{(n),i}$ are independent structures that appear in the flat spacetime EChL of order n.

These couplings are essential to absorb divergences and power counting breaking terms

• At order 2:

Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020) no.7, 076023

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{(2)} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{c_8}{8} R \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{2c_9}{m} R^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi} i \gamma_\mu \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\nu \Psi \right) \right\}$$

• At order 2:

Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020) no.7, 076023

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{(2)} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{c_8}{8} R \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{2c_9}{m} R^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi} i \gamma_\mu \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\nu \Psi \right) \right\}$$

Corresponding EMT:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{c_8}{8} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \right) \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{ic_9}{m} \left[\partial^2 \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi \right) + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\beta} \Psi \right) - \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\alpha} \Psi \right) \right]$$

• At order 2:

Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020) no.7, 076023

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{(2)} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{c_8}{8} R \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{2c_9}{m} R^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi} i \gamma_\mu \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\nu \Psi \right) \right\}$$

Corresponding EMT:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{c_8}{8} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \right) \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{ic_9}{m} \left[\partial^2 \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi \right) + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\beta} \Psi \right) - \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\alpha} \Psi \right) \right]$$

• At order 3:

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{(3)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \tilde{d}_{g1} R \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 u_{\mu} \Psi + \tilde{d}_{g2} R^{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 u_{\nu} \Psi + \tilde{d}_{g3} R^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 u_{\lambda} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\mu} \Psi \right) + i \tilde{d}_{g4} \nabla_{\mu} R^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\lambda} \Psi \right) \right\}$$

• At order 2:

Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020) no.7, 076023

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{(2)} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{c_8}{8} R \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{2c_9}{m} R^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi} i \gamma_\mu \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_\nu \Psi \right) \right\}$$

Corresponding EMT:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{c_8}{8} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \right) \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{ic_9}{m} \left[\partial^2 \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi \right) + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\beta} \Psi \right) - \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\alpha} \Psi \right) \right]$$

• At order 3:

$$S_{\text{grav}}^{(3)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \tilde{d}_{g1} R \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 u_{\mu} \Psi + \tilde{d}_{g2} R^{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 u_{\nu} \Psi + \tilde{d}_{g3} R^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 u_{\lambda} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\mu} \Psi \right) + i \tilde{d}_{g4} \nabla_{\mu} R^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\lambda} \Psi \right) \right\}$$

Corresponding EMT:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{d}_{g1} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \right] \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_5 u_{\alpha} \Psi + \frac{\tilde{d}_{g2}}{2} \left[\partial^2 \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 u_{\nu} \Psi \right) + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 u_{\beta} \Psi \right) - \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \left(\bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 u_{\nu} \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_5 u_{\alpha} \Psi \right) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\kappa} \partial^{\beta} \left(\mathscr{A}_{\beta\mu\kappa\nu} - \mathscr{A}_{\beta\kappa\mu\nu} - \mathscr{A}_{\mu\nu\kappa\beta} + \mathscr{A}_{\mu\kappa\nu\beta} \right)$$

$$\underline{With} \,\mathscr{A}_{\mu\lambda\nu\rho} \equiv \tilde{d}_{g3} \,\bar{\Psi}\sigma_{\rho\mu}\gamma_5 u_{\lambda} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\nu}\Psi - i\widetilde{d}_{g4} \,\partial_{\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi}\sigma_{\rho\mu}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\lambda}\Psi\right)$$

• At order 2:

Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) no.10, 907

 $S_{\text{grav}}^{(2)} = S_{1,\text{grav}}^{(2)} + S_{2,\text{grav}}^{(2)}$

• At order 2:

Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) no.10, 907

 $S_{\text{grav}}^{(2)} = S_{1,\text{grav}}^{(2)} + S_{2,\text{grav}}^{(2)}$

$$S_{1,\text{grav}}^{(2)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ h_1 R \ g^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \Psi^i_{\beta} + h_4 R^{\mu\nu} \ \bar{\Psi}^i_{\mu} \Psi^i_{\nu} + 2ih_5 R^{\mu\nu} \ g^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi^i_{\beta} + ih_6 R^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \left(\bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\beta} \Psi^i_{\nu} - \bar{\Psi}^i_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\beta} \Psi^i_{\alpha} \right) \right. \\ \left. + ih_{10} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Psi^i_{\beta} + i \left[h_{11} \ R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + h_{12} \ R^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right] \left(\bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi^i_{\beta} - \bar{\Psi}^i_{\beta} \gamma_{\mu} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi^i_{\alpha} \right) + h_{13} R^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \Psi^i_{\beta} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} S_{2,\mathsf{grav}}^{(2)} &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ h_2 R \ \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} \Psi^i_{\beta} + i h_3 R \left(g^{\alpha \lambda} \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\lambda} \Psi^i_{\beta} - g^{\beta \lambda} \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\lambda} \Psi^i_{\beta} \right) + i h_7 R^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\mu} \Psi^i_{\nu} - \bar{\Psi}^i_{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\mu} \Psi^i_{\alpha} \right) \\ &+ h_8 R^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \Psi^i_{\nu} + \bar{\Psi}^i_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} \Psi^i_{\alpha} \right) + i h_9 R^{\mu\nu} \left(\bar{\Psi}^i_{\kappa} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi^i_{\alpha} - \bar{\Psi}^i_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\nu} \Psi^i_{\kappa} \right) \\ &+ i \left[h_{14} \ R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + h_{15} \ R^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \right] \left(\bar{\Psi}^i_{\kappa} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\alpha} \Psi^i_{\beta} - \bar{\Psi}^i_{\beta} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\kappa} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\alpha} \Psi^i_{\kappa} \right) \bigg\} \end{split}$$

 At one-loop level and up to fourth order in small quantities, we calculate the following diagrams:

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009

 At one-loop level and up to fourth order in small quantities, we calculate the following diagrams:

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009



Double, solid and dashed lines correspond to the deltas, nucleons and pions, respectively, while the curly lines represent gravitons.

 At one-loop level and up to fourth order in small quantities, we calculate the following diagrams:

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009



Double, solid and dashed lines correspond to the deltas, nucleons and pions, respectively, while the curly lines represent gravitons.

• To compare the results for the GFFs with and without delta resonances, we fix c_8 from the numerical value of the D-term and substitute some values of the unknown LECs in the theory without Δ resonances, i.e. $x_1, y_1, y_2, \tilde{d}_{g4}$ and c_9 , where x_1, y_1 and y_2 parametrize the tree-order contributions of the fourth order

 At one-loop level and up to fourth order in small quantities, we calculate the following diagrams:

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009



Double, solid and dashed lines correspond to the deltas, nucleons and pions, respectively, while the curly lines represent gravitons.

- To compare the results for the GFFs with and without delta resonances, we fix c_8 from the numerical value of the D-term and substitute some values of the unknown LECs in the theory without Δ resonances, i.e. $x_1, y_1, y_2, \tilde{d}_{g4}$ and c_9 , where x_1, y_1 and y_2 parametrize the tree-order contributions of the fourth order
- The LECs of the effective Lagrangian with explicit deltas are obtained from the condition of matching physical quantities in theories with and without explicit deltas:

<u>For</u>: $x_1 = y_1 = y_2 = \tilde{d}_{g4} = c_9 = 0, \mathcal{D} = -0.2$





 $\underline{\mathit{For}}: x_1 = y_1 = 0, y_2 = -9.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}, c_9 = 0.01 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = -0.2 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-3}, \mathcal{D} = -1$



$$\underline{\mathit{For}}: x_1 = y_1 = 0, y_2 = -9.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}, c_9 = 0.01 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = -0.2 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-3}, \mathcal{D} = -10.2 \,\, \mathrm$$



 $\underline{\mathit{For}}: x_1 = 0\,, y_1 = 3 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}, y_2 = -\ 10 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}, c_9 = 0.05 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0.2 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-3}\,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-3}$





$$\underline{\mathit{For}}: x_1 = y_1 = 0 \,, y_2 = -\ 10 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}, c_9 = -\ 1 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \tilde{d}_{g4} = 0 \,, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \mathcal{D} = -\ 2.5 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}, \mathcal{D}$$



For:
$$x_1 = y_1 = 0$$
, $y_2 = -10$ GeV⁻², $c_9 = -1$ GeV⁻¹, $\tilde{d}_{g4} = 0$, $\mathcal{D} = -2.5$



• The difference between the two theories in at least one of the GFFs significantly exceeds the expected contributions from higher-order corrections at $Q^2 = M_{\pi}^2$, from which we can conclude that the explicit inclusion of the Δ resonances is important for the GFFs of the nucleons

• The difference between the two theories in at least one of the GFFs significantly exceeds the expected contributions from higher-order corrections at $Q^2 = M_{\pi}^2$, from which we can conclude that the explicit inclusion of the Δ resonances is important for the GFFs of the nucleons

 This conclusion is supported by considering the non-analytic contributions to the GFFs in the chiral limit, for which all LECs are known and fixed via experiments:

$$A(t) = \frac{g_{\pi N\Delta}^2}{\pi^2 F_{\pi}^2 m_N^2} \left(\frac{(79\delta + 10m_N)}{5760\delta} t^2 + \frac{(15\delta + 2m_N)}{2304\delta^3} t^3 \right) \ln\left(-\frac{t}{m_N^2}\right) + \frac{3g_A^2}{512F_{\pi}^2 m_N} (-t)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{(c_2m_N - 10g_A^2)}{320\pi^2 F_{\pi}^2 m_N^2} t^2 \ln\left(-\frac{t}{m_N^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(t^{\frac{5}{2}})$$

$$J(t) = \frac{g_{\pi N\Delta}^2 \left(4\delta(2\delta + m_N)t^2 + t^3\right)}{2304F_{\pi}^2 \pi^2 \delta^3 m_N} \ln\left(-\frac{t}{m_N^2}\right) - \frac{g_A^2}{64\pi^2 F_{\pi}^2} t \ln\left(-\frac{t}{m_N^2}\right) - \frac{3g_A^2}{512F_{\pi}^2 m_N} (-t)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

$$D(t) = -\frac{g_{\pi N\Delta}^2 (214\delta^3 + 10m_N(t - 14\delta^2) + 5\delta t)}{2880F_{\pi}^2 \pi^2 \delta^3} t \ln\left(-\frac{t}{m_N^2}\right) + \frac{3g_A^2m_N}{128F_{\pi}^2} \sqrt{-t} - \frac{\left(5g_A^2 + 4\left(c_2 + 5c_3\right)m_N\right)}{160\pi^2 F_{\pi}^2} t \ln\left(-\frac{t}{m_N^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(t^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

• In general, the EMT for spin 3/2-system is parameterized as follows:

• In general, the EMT for spin 3/2-system is parameterized as follows:

$$\begin{split} \langle p_{f}, s_{f} | T^{\mu\nu} | p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle &= -\bar{u}_{\alpha'}(p_{f}, s_{f}) \left[\frac{P^{\mu}P^{\nu}}{m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha}F_{1,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^{2}}F_{1,1}(t) \right) + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{2}}{4m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha}F_{2,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^{2}}F_{2,1}(t) \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{i}{2m_{\Delta}} P^{\{\mu}\sigma^{\nu\}\rho}\Delta_{\rho} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha}F_{4,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^{2}}F_{4,1}(t) \right) - \frac{1}{m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha(\mu}\Delta^{\nu)}\Delta^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'(\mu}\Delta^{\nu)}\Delta^{\alpha} - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}\Delta^{\alpha'} - \Delta^{2}\eta^{\alpha(\mu}\eta^{\nu)\alpha'} \right) F_{5,0}(t) \right] u_{\alpha}(p_{i},s_{i}) \\ &P = \frac{1}{2}(p_{i} + p_{f}), \quad \Delta = p_{f} - p_{i}, \quad t = \Delta^{2}, \quad A^{(\alpha}B^{\beta)} = A^{\alpha}B^{\beta} + A^{\beta}B^{\alpha} \end{split}$$

• In general, the EMT for spin 3/2-system is parameterized as follows:

$$\langle p_{f}, s_{f} | T^{\mu\nu} | p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = -\bar{u}_{\alpha'}(p_{f}, s_{f}) \left[\frac{P^{\mu}P^{\nu}}{m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha}F_{1,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^{2}}F_{1,1}(t) \right) + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{2}}{4m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha}F_{2,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^{2}}F_{2,1}(t) \right) + \frac{i}{2m_{\Delta}} P^{\{\mu}\sigma^{\nu\}\rho}\Delta_{\rho} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha}F_{4,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^{2}}F_{4,1}(t) \right) - \frac{1}{m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha(\mu}\Delta^{\nu)}\Delta^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'(\mu}\Delta^{\nu)}\Delta^{\alpha} - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}\Delta^{\alpha'} - \Delta^{2}\eta^{\alpha(\mu}\eta^{\nu)\alpha'} \right) F_{5,0}(t) \right] u_{\alpha}(p_{i}, s_{i})$$

$$P = \frac{1}{2}(p_i + p_f), \quad \Delta = p_f - p_i, \quad t = \Delta^2, \quad A^{(\alpha}B^{\beta)} = A^{\alpha}B^{\beta} + A^{\beta}B^{\alpha}$$

Aim: We want to calculate one-loop corrections of $\langle p_f, s_f | T^{\mu\nu} | p_i, s_i \rangle$ in the frame work of effective chiral theory up to third chiral order

• In general, the EMT for spin 3/2-system is parameterized as follows:

$$\langle p_f, s_f | T^{\mu\nu} | p_i, s_i \rangle = -\bar{u}_{\alpha'}(p_f, s_f) \left[\frac{P^{\mu}P^{\nu}}{m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha} F_{1,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^2} F_{1,1}(t) \right) + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^2}{4m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha} F_{2,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^2} F_{2,1}(t) \right) \right] + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^2}{4m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha} F_{2,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^2} F_{2,1}(t) \right) + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^2}{4m_{\Delta}} \left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha} F_{2,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^2} F_{2,1}(t) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2m_{\Delta}}P^{\{\mu}\sigma^{\nu\}\rho}\Delta_{\rho}\left(\eta^{\alpha'\alpha}F_{4,0}(t) - \frac{\Delta^{\alpha'}\Delta^{\alpha}}{2m_{\Delta}^{2}}F_{4,1}(t)\right) - \frac{1}{m_{\Delta}}\left(\eta^{\alpha(\mu}\Delta^{\nu)}\Delta^{\alpha'} + \eta^{\alpha'(\mu}\Delta^{\nu)}\Delta^{\alpha} - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}\Delta^{\alpha'} - \Delta^{2}\eta^{\alpha(\mu}\eta^{\nu)\alpha'}\right)F_{5,0}(t)\right]u_{\alpha}(p_{i},s_{i})$$

$$P = \frac{1}{2}(p_{i}+p_{f}), \quad \Delta = p_{f}-p_{i}, \quad t = \Delta^{2}, \quad A^{(\alpha}B^{\beta)} = A^{\alpha}B^{\beta} + A^{\beta}B^{\alpha}$$

Aim: We want to calculate one-loop corrections of $\langle p_f, s_f | T^{\mu\nu} | p_i, s_i \rangle$ in the frame work of effective chiral theory up to third chiral order



Double, solid and dashed lines correspond to the deltas, nucleons and pions, respectively, while the curly lines represent gravitons.









 From the one-loop expressions we can extract the non-analytic contributions in the chiral limit



 From the one-loop expressions we can extract the non-analytic contributions in the chiral limit

$$F_{1,0}(t) = -\frac{25g_1^2}{9216F^2m_{\Delta}} t\sqrt{-t}, \\ F_{1,1}(t) = -\frac{5g_1^2m_{\Delta}}{1536F^2}\sqrt{-t}, \\ F_{2,0}(t) = \frac{5g_1^2m_{\Delta}}{768F^2}\sqrt{-t}, \\ F_{2,1}(t) = \frac{5g_1^2m_{\Delta}^3}{384F^2}\frac{\sqrt{-t}}{t}$$



 From the one-loop expressions we can extract the non-analytic contributions in the chiral limit

$$F_{1,0}(t) = -\frac{25g_1^2}{9216F^2m_{\Delta}} t\sqrt{-t}, \\ F_{1,1}(t) = -\frac{5g_1^2m_{\Delta}}{1536F^2}\sqrt{-t}, \\ F_{2,0}(t) = \frac{5g_1^2m_{\Delta}}{768F^2}\sqrt{-t}, \\ F_{2,1}(t) = \frac{5g_1^2m_{\Delta}^3}{384F^2}\frac{\sqrt{-t}}{t}$$

$$F_{4,0}(t) = -\frac{5g_1^2}{1728\pi^2 F^2} t \ln(-t/m_N^2), F_{4,1}(t) = 0, F_{5,0}(t) = -\frac{5g_1^2 m_\Delta}{9216F^2} \sqrt{-t}$$

• The amplitude of the $p \mapsto \Delta^+$ transition has the following general form

• The amplitude of the $p\mapsto \Delta^+$ transition has the following general form

$$\langle \Delta, p_{f}, s_{f} | T^{\mu\nu} | N, p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}_{\alpha}(p_{f}, s_{f}) \left\{ F_{1}(t) \left(\eta^{\alpha \{\mu} P^{\nu\}} + \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\alpha} - \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\alpha \{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} - \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} P^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} \Delta^{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$
Phys. Lett. B 834

$$+F_{2}(t)\left(P^{\mu}P^{\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})^{2}}{4\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)+F_{3}(t)\left(\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu}-\Delta^{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\Delta^{\alpha}$$

$$+F_{4}(t)\left(\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}+\frac{2(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}-\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)$$

+
$$F_{5}(t)\left(P^{\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)\right\}\gamma^{5}u(p_{i},s_{i})$$

(2022), 137442

• The amplitude of the $p\mapsto \Delta^+$ transition has the following general form

$$\langle \Delta, p_{f}, s_{f} | T^{\mu\nu} | N, p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}_{\alpha}(p_{f}, s_{f}) \left\{ F_{1}(t) \left(\eta^{\alpha \{\mu} P^{\nu\}} + \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\alpha} - \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\alpha \{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} - \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} P^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} \Delta^{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$
Phys. Lett. B 834

$$+F_{2}(t)\left(P^{\mu}P^{\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})^{2}}{4\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)+F_{3}(t)\left(\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu}-\Delta^{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\Delta^{\alpha}$$

 $+F_{4}(t)\left(\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}+\frac{2(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}-\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)$ + $F_{5}(t)\left(P^{\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)\right\}\gamma^{5}u(p_{i},s_{i})$

(2022), 137442

• We calculate the leading electromagnetic and strong isospin-violating effects to the $p\mapsto \Delta^+$ transition $\lim_{(2024),\ 007}$

• The amplitude of the $p\mapsto \Delta^+$ transition has the following general form

$$\langle \Delta, p_{f}, s_{f} | T^{\mu\nu} | N, p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}_{\alpha}(p_{f}, s_{f}) \left\{ F_{1}(t) \left(\eta^{\alpha \{\mu} P^{\nu\}} + \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\alpha} - \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\alpha \{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} - \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} P^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} \Delta^{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$
Phys. Lett. B 834

$$+F_{2}(t)\left(P^{\mu}P^{\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})^{2}}{4\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)+F_{3}(t)\left(\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu}-\Delta^{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\Delta^{\alpha}$$

$$+F_{4}(t)\left(\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}+\frac{2(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}-\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)$$

+
$$F_{5}(t)\left(P^{\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)\right\}\gamma^{5}u(p_{i},s_{i})$$

(2022), 137442

- We calculate the leading electromagnetic and strong isospin-violating effects to the $p\mapsto \Delta^+$ transition
- Up to third order in small-scale expansion there are no tree-level contributions i.e., our one-loop results can be regarded as predictions of ChPT

- The amplitude of the $p\mapsto \Delta^+$ transition has the following general form

$$\langle \Delta, p_{f}, s_{f} | T^{\mu\nu} | N, p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}_{\alpha}(p_{f}, s_{f}) \left\{ F_{1}(t) \left(\eta^{\alpha \{\mu} P^{\nu\}} + \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\alpha} - \frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} - m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}} \eta^{\alpha \{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} - \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} P^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} \Delta^{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$
Phys. Lett. B 834

$$+F_{2}(t)\left(P^{\mu}P^{\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})^{2}}{4\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)+F_{3}(t)\left(\Delta^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu}-\Delta^{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\right)\Delta^{\alpha}$$

 $+F_{4}(t)\left(\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}+\frac{2(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\alpha\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}-\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)$ + $F_{5}(t)\left(P^{\{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}+\frac{(m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2})(m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}})}{\Delta^{2}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{p}+m_{\Delta^{+}}}{\Delta^{2}}P^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{\Delta^{+}}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}\gamma^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}}\Delta^{\alpha}\right)\right\}\gamma^{5}u(p_{i},s_{i})$

(2022), 137442

- We calculate the leading electromagnetic and strong isospin-violating effects to the $p \mapsto \Delta^+$ transition
- Up to third order in small-scale expansion there are no tree-level contributions i.e., our one-loop results can be regarded as predictions of ChPT

For more details, see the talk by Bao-Dong Sun

• The amplitude of the OPGP has the following general form

 $-i\langle p_{f}, s_{f}, q, c | T^{\mu\nu} | p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}(p_{f}, s_{f}) O^{\mu\nu} \tau^{c} u(p_{i}, s_{i})$

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009

OPGP is accessible in hard exclusive processes like the non-diagonal DVCS $(\gamma^* + N \mapsto \gamma + (\pi N))$

• The amplitude of the OPGP has the following general form

$$-i\langle p_{f}, s_{f}, q, c | T^{\mu\nu} | p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}(p_{f}, s_{f}) O^{\mu\nu} \tau^{c} u(p_{i}, s_{i})$$

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009

OPGP is accessible in hard exclusive processes like the non-diagonal DVCS $(\gamma^* + N \mapsto \gamma + (\pi N))$

• If we use the naive basis, i.e. $\{\gamma^5, \gamma^{\alpha}, \sigma^{\alpha\beta}, p_i^{\alpha}, p_f^{\alpha}, q^{\alpha}\}$ to parameterize $O^{\mu\nu}$, then transition GFFs will depend on each other, because of the conservation of the current i.e., $\bar{u}(p_f, s_f)O^{\mu\nu}\tau^c u(p_i, s_i) (p_f + q - p_i)_{\mu} = 0$

• The amplitude of the OPGP has the following general form

$$-i\langle p_{f}, s_{f}, q, c | T^{\mu\nu} | p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}(p_{f}, s_{f}) O^{\mu\nu} \tau^{c} u(p_{i}, s_{i})$$

- If we use the naive basis, i.e. $\{\gamma^5, \gamma^{\alpha}, \sigma^{\alpha\beta}, p_i^{\alpha}, p_f^{\alpha}, q^{\alpha}\}$ to parameterize $O^{\mu\nu}$, then transition GFFs will depend on each other, because of the conservation of the current i.e., $\bar{u}(p_f, s_f)O^{\mu\nu}\tau^c u(p_i, s_i) (p_f + q p_i)_{\mu} = 0$
- To parametrize $O^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of independent, conserved Lorentz invariant structures we introduce the following linearly independent kinematic variables:

$$ilde{\Delta}=p_f+q-p_i$$
 , $P=p_f-q+a\ p_i$, $\Lambda=p_f+q+b\ p_i$, with

$$a = -1 - \frac{2M_{\pi}^2 - 2t}{m_N^2 - s + \tilde{t}}, b = \frac{-m_N^2 + s + \tilde{t}}{m_N^2 - s + \tilde{t}}$$

a and b were chosen so that P and Λ are orthogonal to $\tilde{\Delta}$

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009

OPGP is accessible in hard exclusive processes like the non-diagonal DVCS $(\gamma^* + N \mapsto \gamma + (\pi N))$

• The amplitude of the OPGP has the following general form

$$-i\langle p_{f}, s_{f}, q, c | T^{\mu\nu} | p_{i}, s_{i} \rangle = \bar{u}(p_{f}, s_{f}) O^{\mu\nu} \tau^{c} u(p_{i}, s_{i})$$

- If we use the naive basis, i.e. $\{\gamma^5, \gamma^{\alpha}, \sigma^{\alpha\beta}, p_i^{\alpha}, p_f^{\alpha}, q^{\alpha}\}$ to parameterize $O^{\mu\nu}$, then transition GFFs will depend on each other, because of the conservation of the current i.e., $\bar{u}(p_f, s_f)O^{\mu\nu}\tau^c u(p_i, s_i) (p_f + q p_i)_{\mu} = 0$
- To parametrize $O^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of independent, conserved Lorentz invariant structures we introduce the following linearly independent kinematic variables:

$$ilde{\Delta}=p_f+q-p_i$$
 , $P=p_f-q+a\ p_i$, $\Lambda=p_f+q+b\ p_i$, with

$$a = -1 - \frac{2M_{\pi}^2 - 2t}{m_N^2 - s + \tilde{t}}, b = \frac{-m_N^2 + s + \tilde{t}}{m_N^2 - s + \tilde{t}}$$

a and b were chosen so that P and Λ are orthogonal to $\tilde{\Delta}$

• Moreover, we write $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5$ and $\gamma^{\lambda}\tilde{\Delta}_{\lambda}\gamma_5$ in terms of structures that contain $e^{lphaeta\gamma\kappa}$

Phys. Rev. D **109** (2024) no.1, 016009

OPGP is accessible in hard exclusive processes like the non-diagonal DVCS $(\gamma^* + N \mapsto \gamma + (\pi N))$

 After removing the redundant structures, we find that the most general form of the matrix element contains twelve independent structures:

• After removing the redundant structures, we find that the most general form of the matrix element contains twelve independent structures:

$$\begin{split} O^{\mu\nu} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(f_1 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_2}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) P^\mu P^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \left(f_3 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_4}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) \Lambda^\mu \Lambda^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \left(f_5 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_6}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) P^\mu \Lambda^\nu \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(f_7 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_8}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) \left(\tilde{t} \eta^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\Delta}^\mu \tilde{\Delta}^\nu \right) + i f_9 \left(\tilde{t} \epsilon^{\nu P\Lambda\beta} - \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \tilde{\Delta}^\nu \right) P^\mu \gamma_\beta + i f_{10} \left(\tilde{t} \epsilon^{\nu P\Lambda\beta} - \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \tilde{\Delta}^\nu \right) \Lambda^\mu \gamma_\beta \end{split}$$

$$+i\left(f_{11}\ \Lambda^{\mu}+f_{12}\ P^{\mu}\right)\epsilon^{\nu\tilde{\Delta}\Lambda\beta}\gamma_{\beta}+\mu\leftrightarrow\nu\Bigg\}$$

 After removing the redundant structures, we find that the most general form of the matrix element contains twelve independent structures:

$$\begin{split} O^{\mu\nu} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(f_1 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_2}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) P^{\mu} P^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \left(f_3 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_4}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) \Lambda^{\mu} \Lambda^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \left(f_5 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_6}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) P^{\mu} \Lambda^{\nu} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(f_7 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_8}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) \left(\tilde{t} \eta^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\Delta}^{\mu} \tilde{\Delta}^{\nu} \right) + i f_9 \left(\tilde{t} \epsilon^{\nu P\Lambda\beta} - \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \tilde{\Delta}^{\nu} \right) P^{\mu} \gamma_\beta + i f_{10} \left(\tilde{t} \epsilon^{\nu P\Lambda\beta} - \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \tilde{\Delta}^{\nu} \right) \Lambda^{\mu} \gamma_\beta \\ &+ i \left(f_{11} \Lambda^{\mu} + f_{12} P^{\mu} \right) \epsilon^{\nu \tilde{\Delta}\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \bigg\} \end{split}$$

• Where any index $\rho \in \{P, \tilde{\Delta}, \Lambda\}$ means contraction with the corresponding variable, e.g, $\epsilon^{\nu \tilde{\Delta} P \beta} = \epsilon^{\nu \alpha \kappa \beta} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha} P_{\kappa}$

 After removing the redundant structures, we find that the most general form of the matrix element contains twelve independent structures:

$$\begin{split} O^{\mu\nu} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(f_1 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_2}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) P^\mu P^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \left(f_3 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_4}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) \Lambda^\mu \Lambda^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \left(f_5 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_6}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) P^\mu \Lambda^\nu \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(f_7 \tilde{t} \gamma^5 + \frac{i f_8}{m_N} \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta \right) \left(\tilde{t} \eta^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\Delta}^\mu \tilde{\Delta}^\nu \right) + i f_9 \left(\tilde{t} \epsilon^{\nu P\Lambda\beta} - \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \tilde{\Delta}^\nu \right) P^\mu \gamma_\beta + i f_{10} \left(\tilde{t} \epsilon^{\nu P\Lambda\beta} - \epsilon^{\tilde{\Delta}P\Lambda\beta} \tilde{\Delta}^\nu \right) \Lambda^\mu \gamma_\beta \\ &+ i \left(f_{11} \Lambda^\mu + f_{12} P^\mu \right) \epsilon^{\nu \tilde{\Delta}\Lambda\beta} \gamma_\beta + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \bigg\} \end{split}$$

- Where any index $\rho \in \{P, \tilde{\Delta}, \Lambda\}$ means contraction with the corresponding variable, e.g, $\epsilon^{\nu \tilde{\Delta} P \beta} = \epsilon^{\nu \alpha \kappa \beta} \tilde{\Delta}_{\alpha} P_{\kappa}$
- In general, one can define P and Λ differently, such that the parametrization for $O^{\mu\nu}$ remains the same, as long as they are linearly independent and orthogonal to $\tilde{\Delta}$



V. Burkert "DVCS and the Gravitational Structure of the Proton 9/22/2018" :

"It can be shown that any massless spin-2 field would give rise to a force indistinguishable from gravitation, because a massless spin-2 field would couple to the stress–energy tensor in the same way that gravitational interactions do.... Except that the "spin-2" field from DVCS is many orders of magnitude stronger than gravitation. "



V. Burkert "DVCS and the Gravitational Structure of the Proton 9/22/2018" :

"It can be shown that any massless spin-2 field would give rise to a force indistinguishable from gravitation, because a massless spin-2 field would couple to the stress–energy tensor in the same way that gravitational interactions do.... Except that the "spin-2" field from DVCS is many orders of magnitude stronger than gravitation. "

V. D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F. X. Girod, C. Lorcé, P. Schweitzer, and P. E. Shanahan "Colloquium: Gravitational Form Factors of the Proton,'' [arXiv:2303.08347 [hep-ph]]. :

"GPDs parameterize the matrix elements of certain non-local operators which can be expanded in terms of an infinite tower of local operators with various quantum numbers. This includes operators with the quantum numbers of the graviton, and so part of the information about how the proton would interact with a graviton is encoded within this tower. "



V. Burkert "DVCS and the Gravitational Structure of the Proton 9/22/2018" :

"It can be shown that any massless spin-2 field would give rise to a force indistinguishable from gravitation, because a massless spin-2 field would couple to the stress–energy tensor in the same way that gravitational interactions do.... Except that the "spin-2" field from DVCS is many orders of magnitude stronger than gravitation. "

V. D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F. X. Girod, C. Lorcé, P. Schweitzer, and P. E. Shanahan "Colloquium: Gravitational Form Factors of the Proton," [arXiv:2303.08347 [hep-ph]]. :

"GPDs parameterize the matrix elements of certain non-local operators which can be expanded in terms of an infinite tower of local operators with various quantum numbers. This includes operators with the quantum numbers of the graviton, and so part of the information about how the proton would interact with a graviton is encoded within this tower. "

For more details, see the talk by Iuliia Panteleeva

Thank you very much for listening!